C. REMARKS

Claims Status

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-19, 21-23, and 25-27 are pending in the application. Claims 2, 11 and 20 are incorporated into claims 1, 10, and 19 and cancelled. Claims 6, 15, and 24 were previously canceled.

Claim Allowance

The Examiner objects to claims 2, 11, and 20 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims in the Office Action dated December 21, 2005. [Final Office Action, p. 2] Applicants amend independent claims 1, 10, and 19 to incorporate the allowable elements of dependent claims 2, 11, and 20. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner issue a timely notice of allowance for independent claims 1, 10, and 19 and for dependent claims 3-5, 7-9, 12-13, 16-18, 21-23, and 25-27, which are dependent on allowable independent claims 1, 10, and 19.

Rejection under 35 USC § 102 no longer applicable in view of amendments to incorporate allowable subject matter into claims 1, 10, and 19

Claims 1, 3-4, 10, 12-13, 19, 21-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Microsoft Windows. [Final Office Action, p. 3] Applicants amend independent claims 1, 10, and 19 to incorporate the allowable subject matter of dependent claims 2, 11, and 20 and therefore Applicants request that a timely notice of allowance be issued in the case for the allowable subject matter. Additionally, claims 3-5, 7-9, 12-13, 16-18, 21-23, and 25-27 are dependent claims of amended independent claims 1, 10, and 19, and therefore Applicants request allowance of these dependent claims of allowable independent claims.

AUS920010521US1

Million Com

Rejection under 35 USC § 103 no longer applicable in view of amendments to incorporate allowable subject matter into claims 1, 10, and 19

Claims 5, 7-8, 14, 16-17, 23, and 25-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Windows as applied to claims 1, 10, and 19 and further in view of Gelsinger et al. (US Patent 5,892,511). [Final Office Action, p. 6] Applicants amend independent claims 1, 10, and 19 to incorporate the allowable subject matter of dependent claims 2, 11, and 20 and therefore Microsoft Windows does not teach each and every element of claims 1, 10, and 19. Consequently, claims 5, 7-8, 14, 16-17, 23, and 25-26, as dependent claims of allowable independent claims 1, 10, and 19, are not unpatentable under Microsoft Windows in view of Gelsinger. Applicants request allowance of these dependent claims of allowable independent claims.

Claims 9, 18, and 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Windows as applied to claims 1, 10, and 19 and further in view of Gelsinger et al. and Hall Jr. (US Patent 6,108,003). [Final Office Action, p. 7] Applicants amend independent claims 1, 10, and 19 to incorporate the allowable subject matter of dependent claims 2, 11, and 20 and therefore Microsoft Windows does not teach each and every element of claims 1, 10, and 19. Consequently, claims 9, 18, and 27, as dependent claims of allowable independent claims 1, 10, and 19, are not unpatentable under Microsoft Windows in view of Gelsinger. Applicants request allowance of these dependent claims of allowable independent claims.



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejections and the allowance of the current pending claims is respectfully requested. If the Examiner feels that the pending claims could be allowed with minor changes, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to discuss an Examiner's Amendment.

In addition, The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any necessary fees or credit any overpayments to deposit account 09-0447.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy J. Pattillo

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 46,983

P.O. Box 161327

Austin, Texas 78716

(512) 402-9820 vox

(512) 306-0417 fax